

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/692,340	10/24/2003	Stephen L. Tillim	TILL.0004	TILL.0004 3590		
38327	7590 02/25/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
REED SMIT		ман, сн	MAH, CHUCK Y			
	IEW PARK DRIVE, SUIT RCH,  VA   22042	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			3676			
			DATE MAILED: 02/25/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					<b>N//</b> /			
W		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
,		10/692,	340	TILLIM, STEPHEN L.				
	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit	,			
		Chuck I		3676				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears on t	he cover sheet with the d	correspondence addre	9SS			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI Insions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commits period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION.  of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no of unication.  of days, a reply within the structury period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be tin atutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from pplication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this comr (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication. -			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on						
′=	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□	<u>,                                    </u>							
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	on of Claims							
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-79</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) <u>1-79</u> are subject to restriction	e withdrawn from c						
Applicat	on Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)[	0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) <b>□</b> a)∣	Acknowledgment is made of a claim to a claim to a laim t	documents have be documents have be of the priority documental Bureau (PCT Re	en received. en received in Applicati nents have been receive ule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	age			
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or I r No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		52)			

Application/Control Number: 10/692,340 Page 2

Art Unit: 3676

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- 1. Claims 1-78, drawn to a handle, classified in class 16, subclass 430.
- II. Claim 79, drawn to a method of designing a handle by measuring and mapping, classified in class 33, subclass 1G.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make other materially different product such as footwear, gloves or body supports.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. If applicant elects invention I above, the following will be applicable:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: 1. figures 7-10B, 2. figures 10C-10D, 3. figure 10E, 4. figure F, 5. figure 10G, 6. figure 10H, 7. figure 10I, 8. figure J, 9. figure 10 K, 10. figures 10L1-10L2, 11. figure 10M, 12. figure 11, 13. figure 12A, 14. figure 12B, 15. figure 12C, 16. figure 12D, 17. figure 12E, 18. figure 12F, 19. figure 12G, 20. figure 12H, 21. figure 12I, 22. figure 12J, 23. figure 12K, 24. figure 12L, 25. figure 12M, 26. figures 12N-12O, 27. figures 12P-12Q, 28. figure 12R, 29. figure 12S1, and 30. figure 12S2.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuck Mah whose telephone number is (703) 308-0676. The examiner can normally be reached on 5/4-9.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on (703) 308-2978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chuck-Mah Primary Examiner Art-Unit 3676

СМ